

San Diego City Attorney MICHAEL J. AGUIRRE

NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: *September 24, 2007

Contact: Maria Velasquez, Communications Director (619) 235-5725 myelasquez@sandiego.gov

MAYOR ASKS CITY ATTORNEY FOR OPTIONS TO REIN IN MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR RETIREMENT BENEFIT COSTLY PURCHASE OF SERVICE PROGRAM WAS SUPPOSED TO BE COST-NEUTRAL TO THE CITY

San Diego, CA—As the City's court battle continues to eliminate more than \$800 million in illegal and unfunded employee pension benefits, City Attorney Michael Aguirre now has stronger support from Mayor Jerry Sanders. The Mayor has asked the City Attorney to provide him with unilateral options that the City can take to rescind \$146 million in pension liability resulting from the "Purchase of Service Credit" (PSC) program which was supposed to be cost-neutral to the City.

The Mayor's request stems from the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System (SDCERS) announcement on Friday that 15% of the City's \$1 billion pension liability, or \$146 million, is a direct result of the PSC program. The program allowed City employees to purchase up to five years of retirement credits for years not actually worked at a grossly discounted price.

In his news release, the Mayor said that he was "angered by this revelation" and found it to be "completely unacceptable."

San Diego City Attorney Michael Aguirre said, "It is in the best interest of San Diego taxpayers to stop the payment of a retirement benefit that City employees never paid for or earned." He also added, "It is my hope that the City Council will finally support this effort in light of the fact that the City Attorney's Office has been advocating this action since I assumed office."

To date, the City Attorney's Office has issued several memoranda and reports (January 7, 2005; February 11, 2005; February 22, 2005; April 27, 2005; January 4, 2007; July 10, 2007; July 30, 2007; August 10, 2007; and September 7, 2007) urging that the PCS program be rescinded.

The City Attorney's Office also brought the discounted PSC program to the attention of the public and City Council through its release of Interim Reports No's. 2, 3, & 12. Interim Report No. 12, "Report on Scheme to Price San Diego City Employees' Retirement System (SDCERS) Pension Service Credits Below Cost in Violation of California Law" was released on September 18, 2006 and outlined how purchase of service credits were priced below their actual cost. The Report concluded:

(MORE)

Page 2

- The San Diego City Employees' Retirement System violated their fiduciary duties to the pension system by knowingly and willingly pricing the years of service credits below their cost to the City, thereby placing the financial security of the system in jeopardy; and
- It is the City Attorney's considered judgment that legal action needs to be taken to correct the misappropriation of public funds used to subsidize the illegal pricing scheme for the Pension of Service Credit program.

BACKGROUND:

The PSC program was originally created by the City to benefit members of the military who left City service to serve. For instance, if a member of the military was called to active duty for two years, that employee could purchase the two years missed upon returning to the City.

The program was expanded in 1996, as a part of the Manager's Proposal I (MP1) deal between the City and the SDCERS. The new program expanded the PSC program and enabled all City employees to purchase up to five years of service credits – without actually working those years. The program was incorporated into the City of San Diego's Municipal Code.

According to MP I, the program was supposed to be cost neutral for the City and the years of service were supposed to be priced so the employee would pay the full price of the benefit, both the employee's cost and the City's cost.

However, on March 21, 1997, the SDCERS Board priced the years far below what it would cost the City to provide the benefit. Regardless of an employee's salary, years of service, or age--all factors that should have been considered in structuring the pricing formula--General and Legislative Members were charged 15 percent of current pay per year purchased. The corresponding cost for Safety Members was 26 percent of current pay. Under the pricing formula, a general member earning \$100,000 per year could buy a year of pension credits for \$15,000. The cost would be \$26,000 per year purchased for a safety employee.

The program became wildly popular as City employees sought to cash in on the benefit. It was not long until SDCERS officials realized that the discounted pricing formula was creating a debt to the pension system. However, even after discovering this fact, the SDCERS Board did not revise the pricing formula for several years.

Both the SDCERS actuary and its Assistant Administrator warned the SDCERS Board that the pricing formula needed to be revised upward if the program was to be cost-neutral to the City. Finally, on August 15, 2003 the SDCERS Board voted to raise the per year cost of the PSC program to 27% for General Members, 37% for Safety Members, and 50% for Legislative Members. However, the SDCERS Board allowed employees who submitted their application before November 1, 2003, to purchase service credits at the lower, former price. As a result, hundreds of additional years of service were purchased at the discounted rate and added to the pension system's debt.

(MORE)

Page 3

During labor negotiations in 2005, it was agreed that the program would be closed to new City employees hired after 1 July 2005.

On the legal front, on August 3, 2007, Superior Court Judge Jeffrey B. Barton ruled against the City in its lawsuit seeking the rescission of illegal and unfunded pension benefits granted to City employees by the City Council in 1996 and 2002 due to statute of limitation considerations. Judge Barton, however, never ruled on the merits of the City's claim. The City Attorney believes that Judge Barton's decision is not supported by the law or the facts and is, therefore, appealing the court's decision.

###